

Sulfonomethyl analogues of aldos-2-ulosonic acids. Synthesis of a new sialyl Lewis X analogue

Anikó Borbás^a, Gabriella Szabovik^a, Zsuzsa Antal^a, Pál Herczegh^{b,*}, Attila Agócs^b, András Lipták^{a,c,*}

^aResearch Group for Carbohydrates of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-4010 Debrecen, P. O. Box 55, Hungary ^bResearch Group for Antibiotics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-4010 Debrecen, P. O. Box 70, Hungary ^cInstitute of Biochemistry, L. Kossuth University, H-4010 Debrecen, P. O. Box 55, Hungary

Received 20 January 1999; accepted 8 March 1999

Abstract: Sulfonomethyl derivatives of aldos-2-ulosonic acids have been synthesized by addition of the ethyl methanesulfonate carbanion to aldonolactones. A sulfonylated mimic molecule of the sialyl Lewis X tetrasaccharide has been prepared by using a new sulfonomethyl ulosonic acid analogue.

© 1999 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Carbohydrates; Sulfonic acid derivatives; Thioglycosides; Glycosidation

Aldos-2-ulosonic acids such as *N*-acetylneuraminic acid [1] (1), 3-deoxy-D-manno-2-octulosonic acid [2] (KDO, 2), 3-deoxy-D-arabino-2-heptulosonic acid [3] (3) and 3-deoxy-D-glycero-D-galacto-nonulosonic acid [4] (KDN, 4) play important roles in various biological processes as mediators of cell-viruses or cell-cell recognition [5-7], intermediates of the shikimic acid biosynthesis [3], and constituents of bacterial cell walls [2], etc. The sialyl Lewis X tetrasaccharide (sLe^x) [8] (5) - containing *N*-acetylneuraminic acid - is a ligand for E-and P-selectin, therefore is a key interactive molecule of inflammatory reactions and metastasis.

Scheme 1

Mimics of 1-5 can be used as inhibitors of enzymes and recognition phenomena [8-10]. The charge of the carboxylic group in 2-ulosonic acids is presumably essential for binding to biomolecules, therefore substitution of carboxyls for another charged moieties could produce bioactive surrogates of 1-5. While phosphonic acid analogues of 1 are known [11,12], sulfonic acid variants have not been synthesized to date, although several sulfo sugars, having mainly a 6-sulfonic acid moiety, are known [13-20]. Taking into consideration that sulfonic acids are stronger acids then their carboxylic counterparts it can be assumed that sulfonate analogues of 2-ulosonates could bind effectively to the bioreceptors. This idea seems to be confirmed by the fact that the sulfated Lewis X trisaccharide 6 does exist, as a natural analogue of sLe^x, and shows superior binding to E-selectin [21]. This observation has stimulated significant interest in the synthesis of sulfated Lewis^x derivatives [21-25]. In this paper we wish to present preliminary results on the preparation of sulfonomethyl analogues of aldos-2-ulosonic acids and of a sLe^x tetrasaccharide.

For the synthesis of the model compound **8**, the D-gluconolactone derivative **7** [26] was reacted with the ethyl methanesulfonate anion generated with *n*-butyllithium. Upon nucleophilic addition of the sulfonate ester carbanion to the lactone carbonyl the 1-ethylsulfonyl-D-hept-2-ulose **8** was obtained in α -anomeric form. The anomeric configuration was determined on the basis of the NMR C1-H3 three-bond coupling constant [27], that depends on the dihedral angle in a manner similar to ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$.

Scheme 2: a) CH₃SO₃Et, n-BuLi, THF, -70 °C, ~90%; b) EtSH, BF₃Et₂O, CH₂Cl₂, ~95%

Reaction of 8 with ethanethiol in the presence of Lewis acid resulted in the formation of the α -thioglycoside 9. The same reaction sequence starting from the D-mannonolactone 10 [28] furnished the thioglycoside 12.

Since our main goal was the substitution of *N*-acetylneuraminic acid with sulfonomethyl derivatives in sialyl Lewis^x tetrasaccharide analogues we first investigated the regioselective glycosylation of the diol 13 [29] at position 3. Glycosylation of 13 with 9 using *N*-iodosuccinimide – trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (NIS-TfOH) activation afforded a separable 3:1 mixture of the regioisomeric disaccharides 14 and 15. Formation of the elimination product 16 was also observed with an isolated yield of 13%. The protecting groups were removed from 14 via nucleophilic attack by bromide and subsequent catalytic hydrogenation resulted in the tetrabutylammonium salt 17.

Scheme 3: a) NIS, TfOH, CH_2CI_2 -50 °C, 55% of 14, 17% of 15, 13% of 16; b) Bu_4NBr , CH_3CN , reflux; EtOH, $Pd(C)/H_2$, 87% (2 steps)

For the synthesis of the Le^x trisaccharide mimic 19 was glycosylated with the donor 18 [30] using methyl triflate activation to give 20 which, following deisopropylidenation, may serve as the aglycon for further glycosylation. The thioglycoside donor 9 was coupled with the diol 21 using NIS-TfOH activation, and regioselective formation of 22 was observed due to the reduced reactivity of 21, but the yield was rather low (~35%). The reason for this is the reduced reactivity of the aglycon, and so that an up to 50% increase of the elimination product 16 from the donor 9. By means of a three-step deprotection procedure 22 was converted into the sulfonic-acid type mimic 23 of the sLe^x tetrasaccharide.

Scheme 4: a) MeOTf, CH₂Cl₂ 86%; b) HCl, MeOH, 40 °C, 97%; c) 21+9, NIS, TfOH, CH₂Cl₂ -50 °C, 35% of 22, 50% of 16; d) NaOMe, MeOH; Bu₄NBr, CH₃CN, reflux; EtOH, Pd(C)/H₂, 84% (3 steps)

The synthesis of further sulfonomethyl analogues of aldos-2-ulosonic acids and their introduction into oligosaccharides are under way in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by an International Scholar's award from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Support from the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA T 19338) and from the Hungarian Ministry of Culture and Education (MKM FKFP-0303/1997) is also acknowledged. A. B. acknowledges the Magyary postdoctoral fellowship of the Hungarian Ministry of Culture and Education.

All compounds gave satisfactory spectroscopic data. Selected spectroscopic and physical data are the following: compound 9: [a]p +59.94 (c 0.61, CHCl₃), ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, benzene): δ 89.7 (C-2), 84.7 (C-4), 80.7 (C-3), 79.8 (C-5), 75.0 (C-6), 69.3 (C-7), 66.8 (SO₂CH₂CH₃), 56.7 (C-1, J_{H3C1} 2.7 Hz), 20.1(SCH₂CH₃), 15.1 (SO₃CH₂CH₃), 13.9 (SCH₂CH₃). Compound 12: [α]_D +11.15 (c 0.26. CHCl₃), ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃): 8 86.6 (C-2), 81.8, 76.8, 74.5, 73.4 (C-3,-4,-5,-6), 69.1(C-7), 66.3 (SO₃CH₂CH₃), 54.0 (C-1), 20.6(SCH₂CH₃), 14.9 (SO₃CH₂CH₃), 13.5(SCH₂CH₃). Compound 15: [α]_D +34.86 (c 0.72, CHCl₃), ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 105.2 (C-1), 99.2 (C-2'), 82.6, 80.5, 80.1, 78.4 (C-3', C-5', C-2, C-4), 75.4, 75.3, 75.2, 74.6, 73.4, 72.6 (6 OCH,Ph), 73.7, 73.4, 72.8, 72.6 (C-4', C-6', C-3, C-5), 68.8, 68.6, 67.8 (C-6, C-7', SO₃CH₂CH₃),), 57.2 (OCH₃), 51.8 (C-1, J_{H3,Cl} <1 Hz), 15.0 (SO₃CH₂CH₃). Compound 16: ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) 165.4 (C-2),107.6 (C-1), 85.7, 81.9, 80.3, 80.1 (C-3, -4, -5,-6), 71.3 (C-7), 70.2 (SO₃CH₂CH₃), 18.3 (SO₃CH₂CH₃). Compound 17: [α]_D +44.27 (c 1.10, H₂O), ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, D₂O): δ 106.5 (C-1), 102.7 (C-2'), 77.2 (C-3), 75.8, 75.6, 75.3 (C-3', C-5', C-2, C-4), 72.3, 71.9, 71.5 (C-4', C-6', C-5), 63.7, 63.4 (C-6, C-7'), 60.8 (N'-CH₂-), 59.8 (OCH₃), 56.9 (C-1', J_{H2'Cl'} 2.0 Hz), 25.8, 21.8 (N⁺-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-CH₃), 15.4 (N⁺-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-CH₃). Compound 21: [a]_D -32.94 (0.36, CHCl₃), ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃) & 167.1, 166.4 (2 CO), 100.4 (C-1-Fucp), 97.9 (C-1-Galp), 62.9 (C-6-Galp), 67.5, 73.0 (CH₂-CH₂). Compound 22: [α]_D +22.58 (c 0.17, CHCl₃), ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, benzene): δ 166.2, 165.3 (2 CO), 100.6 (C-1), 100.3 (C-2"), 98.1 (C-1'), 64.0 (C-6'), 67.5, 67.7, 68.6 (CH₂-CH₂, C-7", SO₃CH₂CH₃), 53.9 (C-1", J_{H3",CI"} <1 Hz) 16.9 (CH₃-Fucp), 15.1 (SO₃CH₂CH₃), ESI +Q1MS: M+Na⁺ 1515.7. Compound 23: ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, D₂O): 8 105.7 (C-1'), 101.7 (C-1), 75.8 (C-6''), 75.3 (C-4''), 74.9 (C-3''), 72.0 (C-5''), 71.7, 69.8 (-CH₂-CH₂-), 63.7 (C-7"), 63.5 (C-6"), 59.1 (C-1"), 18.0 (C-6); HNMR (500 MHz, D₂O): 84.9 (1 H, d, H-1, J₁, 4.5 Hz), 4.5 (1 H, d, H-1') $J_{1',2'}$ 8.8 Hz), 3.4, 3.5 (2 H, 2 d, H-1, '', H-1, '', J_{gem} 14 Hz).

References

- Schauer R. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1982; 40: 131-234. [1]
- Unger FM. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 1981; 38: 323-388. [2]
- [3] Haslam E. Progr. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 1996; 69: 158-240.
- [4] Nadano D, Iwasaki M, Endo S, Kitajima K, Inoue Y. J. Biol. Chem. 1986; 261: 11550-11557.
- Paulson JC, Rogers GN, Carrell SM, Higa HH, Pritelett T, Milks, G, Sabesan S. Pure Appl. Chem. 1984; 56 797-805.
- [5] [6] Paulson JC. In Interactions of Animal Viruses with Cell Surface receptors; Ed. Conn PM. Academic Press, New York, 1985: 131-219.
- [7] For example: Kiessling LL, Pohl, NL. Chem. Biol. 1996; 3: 71-77.
- [8] Simanek EE, McGarvey GJ, Jablonowski JA, Wong CH. Chem Rev. 1998; 98: 833-862.
- Hammond SM, Claesson A, Jansson AM, Larsson LG, Pring BG, Town CM, Ekström B. Nature 1987; 327: 730-732.
- [10] For example: Wong CH, Moris-Varas F, Hung SC, Marron TG, Lin CC, Gong KW, Weitz-Schmidt G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997: 119: 8152-8158.
- Chan TH, Xin, ZC. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996: 905-906.
- [12] Gao J, Martichonok V, Whitesides GM. J. Org. Chem. 1996; 61: 9538-9540.
- [13] Miyano M, Benson AA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962; 84: 59-62.
- [14] Lehmann J, Weckerle W. Carbohydr. Res. 1972; 22: 23-35.
- [15] Reistad R. Carbohydr. Res. 1977; 54: 308-310.
- [16] Gigg, R, Penglis, AAE, Conant R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1, 1980: 2490-2493.
- [17] Hoch M, Heinz E, Schmidt RR. Carbohydr. Res. 1989; 191: 21-28.
- Ī18Ī Mundill PHL, Fries RW, Woenckhaus C, Plapp BV. J. Med. Chem. 1981; 24: 474-477.
- [19] Fernandez-Bolańos J, Castillo IM, Fernandez-Bolańos Guzman J. Carbohydr. Res. 1986; 147: 325-329.
- [20] Huang J, Widlanski TS. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992; 33: 2657-2660.
- [21] Stahl W, Sprengard U, Kretzschmar G, Schmidt DW, Kunz H. J. Prakt . Chem. 1995; 337: 441-445.
- [22] Jain RK, Vig R, Locke RD, Mohammad A, Matta KL. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996: 65-67.
- [23] Nicolaou KC, Bockovich NJ, Carcanague DR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993; 115: 8843-8844.
- [24] Brandley BK, Kiso M, Abbas S, Nikrad P, Srivastava O, Foxall C, Oda Y, Hasegawa A. Glycobiology 1993; 3: 633-639.
- [25] Koenig A, Jain R, Vig R, Norgard-Sumnicht KE, Matta KL, Varki A. Glycobiology 1997; 7: 79-93.
- [26] Hanessian S, Ugolini, A. Carbohydr. Res. 1984, 130, 261-269.
- Wehrli FW, Wirthlin T. Interpretation of carbon-13 NMR spectra, Ed. Heyden & Son, Bristol, 1976. [27]
- [28] Overkleft HS, van Wiltenburg J, Pandit UK. Tetrahedron 1994; 50: 4215-4224.
- [29] Garegg PJ, Lindberg KB, Swahn CG. Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. B 1974; 28: 381-384.
- Garegg PJ, Oscarson S. Carbohydr. Res. 1985; 136: 207-214.